

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/654,174	ROMERO ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Jeffrey T. Barton	1753	

**All Participants:**

(1) Jeffrey T. Barton.

(2) Thomas Nemo.

**Status of Application:** Pending

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 22 January 2007
**Time:** 4:30 pm
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner called Mr. Nemo to inquire whether a reply had been sent to the Office Action of 13 April 2006. Mr. Nemo indicated that no reply had been sent*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)